

<u>Purpose:</u> To document the design objectives of a successful EDAM GHG market optimization. These were discussed in 1/6 and 1/11 working groups (modified in red):

- 1) No inappropriate or unacceptable GHG impact in non-GHG zone.
- 2) Leakage should be minimized.
- 3) Enable similarly situated/similar technology resources in non-GHG zone to compete on a level playing field with resources inside GHG zone and vice versa (objective not fully finalized).
- 4) Do not inadvertently undermine RPS and CES policies.
- 5) Allow for market efficiency by accurately reflecting relevant including GHG compliance costs.
- 6) Seeking simple solutions where possible while balancing precision and implementation feasibility to support state policy objectives.
- 7) Durable market design including but not limited to allowing for future policy designs and potential linkage

Discussed but not added to list:

- 8) Don't let perfect to be enemy of the good. (Vistra) Confirmed that this is captured in #6
- 9) Solution should seek simplicity. (Vistra) Confirmed that this is captured in #6
- 10)Allow for linkage between carbon pricing regimes where possible. (Public Generating Pool). Confirmed that this is captured in #7
- 11) Use simple solution where possible and avoid administratively burdensome, complex accounting approaches where possible (Public Generating Pool). Confirmed that this is captured in #6
- 12)Reporting should be enabled (LADWP)
- 13)Allow for single and multiple GHG zones (Vistra) Confirmed that this is captured in #7
- 14)Respects transmission constraints (CES, Powerex) Confirmed that is captured in 2 and 6
- 15) Starts from status quo by leveraging the existing EIM model (PUC MOU)
- 16)Potential to allow for resources to direct how energy is counted (Public Generation Pool)
- 17)Accurate unit commitment based on bid-in costs (PG&E) Confirmed that this is captured in #6