



Congestion Revenue Rights Enhancements Initiative

Straw Proposal on Auction Efficiency and Revenue Adequacy

Session #10

June 2, 2026

Engagement Best Practices



This call is being recorded for informational and convenience purposes only and will be available on the CAISO website. Any related transcriptions should not be reprinted without ISO's permission.



The meeting is structured to stimulate open dialogue and engage different perspectives.



The facilitator will provide guidance for comments and questions to ensure a productive flow. Please keep remarks succinct and avoid revisiting points that have already been covered, allowing space for all voices.



Closed Captioning and the Transparency Viewer are available at the bottom of the Webex screen.

Instructions for Raising Your Hand to Ask a Question



Please remember to state your name and affiliation before making your comment. After unmuting, please pause for about two seconds before speaking to ensure your audio connects clearly.



If you are connected to audio through your computer or used the 'call me' option, select the raise hand icon located on the bottom of your screen.



If you are connected on the phone line only and not the Webex, dial *3 to be added to the raise hand queue.



You may send questions via chat to all panelists.



If you need technical assistance during the meeting, please send a chat to the event producer at Intellor Events.

CAISO Policy Initiative Stakeholder Process



[Learn more in the Stakeholder Process Guide](#)

Agenda

Time	Topic	Presenters
9:00 – 9:05 am	Welcome + today's agenda	Brenda Marquez
9:05 – 9:20 am	Opening comments	Partha Malvadkar, Hilary Staver
9:20 – 9:40 am	A Phased Approach to Policy Development	Hilary Staver
9:40 – 10:40 am	Phase 1 Straw Proposal: Auction Efficiency: Auction Price and Bid Floor	Partha Malvadkar, Hilary Staver
10:40 – 10:50 am	<i>10-Minute Break</i>	
10:50 – 11:10 am	Phase 1 Straw Proposal: Revenue Adequacy Enhancements	Hilary Staver
11:10 – 11:25 am	Phase 2: Continued Development	Partha Malvadkar
11:25 – 11:45 am	Open Discussion	
11:45 – 11:55 am	Closing comments	Partha Malvadkar, Hilary Staver
11:55 am – noon	Next Steps	Brenda Marquez

A PHASED APPROACH TO POLICY DEVELOPMENT

The working group conversations thus far have provided several perspectives on timeline

- 1) Improvements should be implemented as soon as possible
- 2) Some potential enhancements are more complex than others
- 3) Development of structural changes should consider their full potential risks and benefits

Proposal: A Phased Approach to Policy Development

- Phase 1:
 - Focused on solutions that could potentially be implemented for the 2027 annual CRR process
 - CRR annual auction begins at the end of October
- Phase 2:
 - Focused on consideration of more complex structural changes
 - Policy development would continue into CY 2027

Stakeholder feedback on phase approach in comments on 4/22 working group meeting

- Most stakeholders support or do not oppose a phase approach
- Varying priorities for what should be covered in Phase 1:
 - Product definition
 - Auction efficiency
 - Revenue adequacy
 - Further analysis

Proposal: A Phased Approach to Policy Development

- Phase 1:
 - Auction Efficiency: Auction price and bid floor
 - Revenue Adequacy: Enhanced loop flow modeling authority and modeling improvements within existing tariff
- Phase 2:
 - Product Definition
 - More complex solutions around auction efficiency and revenue adequacy

PHASE 1 STRAW PROPOSAL: AUCTION PRICE AND BID FLOOR

The basis for an auction floor in Phase 1

- Auction efficiency measure raised in both past MSC opinions and current stakeholder comments
- Has the potential to be implemented in time for the 2027 annual CRR process, avoiding the need to wait another year for improvements
- Can be adjusted over time and built on in Phase 2

Questions to consider during auction floor design

Counterflow:

- How do flows and counterflows caps interact in price setting, particularly if different bid caps exist?
- Are there opportunities to use counterflow bids to drive down the prices on other positive value bids, including on different paths?

Liquidity:

- How will liquidity be impacted, particularly on lower-impacted paths?
- How does the reduction in volume impact overall pricing?
- Do the locations just shift to higher priced paths with similar hedges?

Clearing Price Effects:

- If the bid floor reduces demand volume, could it have the counterintuitive effect of lowering prices on these paths (albeit with lower volumes)?
- If so, is this really a net benefit (even to load)?


Resale Function:

- How will CRR resale work for participants wanting to sell/exchange positions?
- Would a minimum bid drive participants to the bilateral market for lower-value source-sink pairs?

Structure of the floor design discussion

- Minimum bid, minimum price, or both?
- What characteristics should a floor have, i.e. how do we set the appropriate floor values?
- How do we treat negatively priced CRRs?


A minimum bid does not guarantee a minimum price:



Constraint does not bind

Auction clears:
 90 MW @ \$0.00/MWh


Clearing price < minimum bid because constraint does not bind



Constraint binds @ \$1.00/MWh

Auction clears:
 100 MW @ \$1.00/MWh

Clearing price = minimum bid






Constraint binds @ \$0.20/MWh

Auction clears:

- 110 MW from A to B @ \$0.20/MWh
- 10 MW from B to A @ -\$0.20/MWh

Clearing price < minimum bid because of counterflow effects

A minimum bid and minimum price together create a clearing price floor without charging any participant more than they bid



Constraint does not bind

Auction clears:
90 MW @
\$1.00/MWh

Constraint binds @
\$1.00/MWh

Auction clears:
100 MW @
\$1.00/MWh

Constraint binds @
\$0.20/MWh

Auction clears:

- 110 MW from A to B @ \$1.00/MWh
- 10 MW from B to A @ \$-0.20/MWh

Straw Proposal: Phase 1 Auction Efficiency Enhancements

- A matching price and bid floor, set separately for prices above and below zero

If pursuing a price + bid floor, what characteristics should a floor have?

- Applicable to all paths; setting constraint-specific floors is not feasible
- Improves auction efficiency while preserving hedging access and resale ability
- Reasonable in the context of relevant historical datasets:
 - CRR notional value
 - CRR auction clearing prices


The ISO seeks stakeholder feedback on what level of floor will best balance the goals of improving auction efficiency while preserving auction functions

Notional value distribution of 1,000 most-traded paths by frequency, January 2023 through March 2026


metric	Season 1 off-peak	Season 1 on-peak	Season 2 off-peak	Season 2 on-peak	Season 3 off-peak	Season 3 on-peak	Season 4 off-peak	Season 4 on-peak
n	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000
min	(2.66)	(10.56)	(2.13)	(9.77)	(0.86)	(5.28)	(2.84)	(8.75)
p5	(0.06)	(0.15)	(0.26)	(0.66)	(0.16)	(0.37)	(0.16)	(0.06)
p10	0.00	0.08	(0.03)	0.03	(0.02)	0.04	0.01	0.16
p15	0.03	0.16	0.02	0.22	0.01	0.13	0.05	0.32
p20	0.05	0.35	0.06	0.39	0.03	0.39	0.07	0.46
p25	0.08	0.60	0.06	0.53	0.07	0.62	0.09	0.61
p30	0.14	0.92	0.08	0.69	0.08	0.76	0.11	0.74
p35	0.17	1.21	0.09	0.86	0.10	0.80	0.14	1.00
p40	0.27	1.45	0.11	1.03	0.13	0.83	0.17	1.10
p45	0.36	1.59	0.15	1.14	0.15	0.96	0.21	1.39
p50	0.39	2.07	0.16	1.29	0.17	1.08	0.25	1.60
p55	0.44	2.27	0.20	1.38	0.22	1.23	0.29	1.76
p60	0.48	2.53	0.27	1.53	0.29	1.76	0.32	1.92
p65	0.57	2.90	0.37	1.86	0.30	2.04	0.38	2.15
p70	0.79	3.44	0.54	2.21	0.38	2.37	0.44	2.43
p75	0.96	4.71	0.72	2.82	0.82	3.14	0.50	2.68
p80	1.06	6.93	1.12	3.41	1.19	4.28	0.64	3.00
p85	1.21	7.85	1.58	4.67	1.80	6.07	0.93	3.46
p90	1.52	8.65	2.56	7.87	2.60	8.44	1.93	5.10
p95	2.06	9.52	3.50	14.30	5.10	11.58	2.72	10.09
max	28.14	36.90	34.00	41.66	35.28	55.00	28.58	30.61

- Outlier events create a long-tailed distribution of notional value
- The ISO recommends differentiating the floor by time-of-use period

Annual auction price distribution for the on peak period




Annual auction price distribution for the off peak period



\$/MWh

ISO Public


Monthly auction price distribution for the on peak period



\$/MWh


ISO Public

Monthly auction price distribution for the off peak period



Excess payouts for seasonal CRRs are not concentrated in a specific price range


Seasonal Buy CRR



Auction revenues and CRR payouts are normalized to \$/MWh

Excess payouts for monthly CRRs are not concentrated in a specific price range

Monthly Buy CRRs



Auction revenues and CRR payouts are normalized to \$/MWh

What is the best starting option for a price and bid floor for positively priced CRRs?

- The ISO seeks feedback on which of the following options is the best starting point for improving auction efficiency while preserving auction functions for positively priced CRRs

On-Peak (\$/MWH)	Off-Peak (\$/MWh)
\$0.25	\$0.05
\$0.50	\$0.10
\$1.00	\$0.25

The ISO proposes a floor closer to zero for negatively priced CRRs

- The ability to price CRRs negatively is important for the auction's CRR resale functionality.
- The historical distribution of negative CRR clearing prices is clustered closer to zero than the distribution of positive prices.
- The ISO seeks feedback on the idea of a \$0.10/MWh “ceiling” for negatively priced CRRs, designed primarily to prevent clearing at a price of zero.

In summary

- The ISO proposes a matching price and bid floor in the CRR auction and seeks feedback on which of the following options achieves the best balance of improving efficiency without making hedging uneconomic:

On-Peak (\$/MWH)	Off-Peak (\$/MWh)
\$0.25	\$0.05
\$0.50	\$0.10
\$1.00	\$0.25

- The ISO proposes to set a ceiling/maximum price and bid of $-\$0.10/\text{MWh}$ for negatively priced CRRs to prevent counterflow CRRs from clearing at zero.

10-MINUTE BREAK

PHASE 1 STRAW PROPOSAL: REVENUE ADEQUACY ENHANCEMENTS

Phase 1 Straw Proposal: Revenue Adequacy Enhancements

- Continued modeling improvements within existing tariff authority
 - This has already begun with the application of the Global Derate Factor to contingency constraints in the CRR model starting in March 2026
- Tariff changes to explicitly authorize loop flow modeling in the annual CRR process
 - Maximizes capacity for and flexibility around loop flow modeling in the future

PHASE 2

Phase 2 will continue exploring more complex structural reforms, including product definition

- Time of use and storage eligibility updates
- Auction structure reforms, including updates to the price and bid floors
- Other options introduced at the April 22, 2026, stakeholder meeting and covered in stakeholder comments
- Additional revenue adequacy enhancements tied to the root cause analysis findings

OPEN DISCUSSION

Next Steps



Comments due June 16, 2026 via ISO Commenting Tool



Final proposal on Phase 1 Enhancements planned for June 30, 2026



Congestion revenue rights enhancements webpage:
<https://stakeholdercenter.caiso.com/StakeholderInitiatives/Congestion-revenue-rights-enhancements>



Contact us at Stakeholder Affairs at ISOStakeholderaffairs@caiso.com or bmarquez@caiso.com

ENERGY matters

The California ISO's blog highlights its most recent news releases, and includes information about ISO issues, reports, and initiatives.



Energy Matters blog provides timely insights into ISO grid and market operations as well as other industry-related news.

[CAISO.com > About > Newsroom > Energy Matters Blog](https://www.caiso.com/About/Newsroom/EnergyMattersBlog)



Story | Leadership, Inside the California ISO

Registration open for 2026 Stakeholder Symposium

By Joanne Serina

05/13/2026



Story | Western Energy Markets, Markets, Extended Day...

Pathways implementation team updates and next steps

By Adam Schultz

05/11/2026



Story | Summer conditions, Inside the California ISO

Summer Assessment confirms continued strengthening of the grid; with additional resources improving overall reliability

By Aditya Jayam Prabhakar

05/04/2026

[Subscribe here](#) to receive the Energy Matters blog monthly summary

REGISTRATION IS OPEN

2026 STAKEHOLDER SYMPOSIUM

Welcome reception - Oct. 5
at Kimpton Sawyer Hotel, Sacramento, CA

Symposium program - Oct. 6
SAFE Credit Union Convention Center
Sacramento, CA

Visit: <https://www.caiso.com/meetings-events/events/stakeholder-symposium>

SPONSORSHIP OPPORTUNITIES AVAILABLE

